

Tensor Product Representations on Riemannian Structures.

By Amlal El Mahrouss

August 5, 2026

Contents

1 Abstract:	2
2 Introduction and Definitions:	2
2.1 Definitions of a Tensor and a Tensor Set:	2
2.2 Definitions of a Riemannian Manifold Product Tensor:	2
3 Additional Remarks regarding our Proofs:	2
4 Proofs of Definition 2.1 over Riemannian Manifolds:	3
4.1 Definitions of Corollaries for Manifolds:	3
4.2 Proof by construction regarding Corollaries and Definitions:	3
5 Conclusion:	3

1 Abstract:

THE research paper covers and explores several mathematical concepts of Riemannian Manifolds and Structures in Abstract Algebra and Topology. We prove that:

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV = \int_S^F \left(\int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \mathcal{T}_i(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij}(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}(t) dV_t \right) dt = \mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk} = \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle. \quad (1)$$

And consequently additional remarks and observations regarding tensor products and their representations over Riemannian Manifolds are discussed using the Fubini's Theorem and a proof by construction. Previous knowledge on Abstract Algebra, and Topology is assumed.

Keywords: Topology, Abstract Algebra, Machine Learning.

2 Introduction and Definitions:

THE following definition of $\mathcal{P}$ is a p-dimensional Riemannian manifold product tensor with boundary. One may define such as:

2.1 Definitions of a Tensor and a Tensor Set:

Let a tensor $\mathcal{X}$ be defined as in:

$$\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}. \quad (2)$$

For the following tensor $\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}$. to be defined as in:

$$\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk} \in \mathbb{T}^+. \quad (3)$$

Where $\mathbb{T}^+$ is the set of all non-zero three-dimensional tensors such as $\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}$.

2.2 Definitions of a Riemannian Manifold Product Tensor:

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote a p-dimensional Riemannian manifold product with boundary. We will always assume $\mathcal{P}$ to factor three three-dimensional tensors that are non-zero. One may define such as:

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \mathcal{X}. \quad (4)$$

A general identity, defined as an integral over the subregion $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form, where $t \in [S, F]$ is a foliation parameter indexing a family of hypersurfaces $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}_{t \in [S, F]}$ that foliates $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$, and i, j, k are continuous local coordinates on each leaf $\mathcal{P}_t$:

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle_g = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV. \quad (5)$$

Where V is the Riemannian volume form on $\mathcal{P}$. And g represents a discrete part of the variable $\mathcal{P}$.

3 Additional Remarks regarding our Proofs:

IN this section, several remarks will be stated here regarding our observations of our theorems that have been written per Definition 2.1.

Remark 3.1. There exist degenerate cases $\mathcal{P}_d$ of $\mathcal{P}$ for which the integral method does not converge in $\mathbb{R}$.

4 Proofs of Definition 2.1 over Riemannian Manifolds:

4.1 Definitions of Corollaries for Manifolds:

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}_t$ be a continuous tensor field on the compact region $\mathcal{P}_{S,F} \subset \mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_t$ is integrable with respect to the Riemannian volume form dV , and $\int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV$ is well-defined.*

Theorem 4.2. *Per Definition 2.1, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV$ defines a specific case of $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ over a Riemannian manifold, where $\mathcal{F}_t$ is a continuous tensor field on $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$ is the subregion bounded by regions S and F . This follows from Corollary 4.1 and Fubini's theorem for Riemannian submersions: if $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$ admits a foliation by t -slices, the integral decomposes as an iterated integral over fibers, recovering the contraction $\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}$.*

Corollary 4.3. *Per definitions in Definition 2.1, since the tensors are assumed non-zero ($\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$), there are non degenerate cases of Definition 2.1 for both of the equations as restated below:*

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}. \quad (6)$$

And thus:

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV. \quad (7)$$

Corollary 4.4. *Per $\mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k)$ being factorizable as $\mathcal{T}_i(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij}(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}(t)$ on each leaf $\mathcal{P}_t$ of the foliation. There exist a conjugate variant of $\mathcal{P}_t$.*

4.2 Proof by construction regarding Corollaries and Definitions:

Proof. We proceed by construction, invoking Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4.

- (i) By Corollary 4.3, the contraction $\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}$ is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$, since the constituent tensors are assumed non-zero by Definition 2.1. In particular, $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \neq 0$ on the initial leaf $\mathcal{P}_S$.
- (ii) By Corollary 4.4, $\mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k)$ factors as $\mathcal{T}_i(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij}(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}(t)$ on each leaf $\mathcal{P}_t$. Suppose the identity $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,t}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV$ holds for all leaves up to $\mathcal{P}_t$. Since $\mathcal{F}_t$ is continuous and non-degenerate by Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4, the identity extends to $\mathcal{P}_{t+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ by continuity of the foliation parameter.
- (iii) Applying Fubini's theorem for Riemannian submersions over $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{S,F}} \mathcal{F}_t(i, j, k) dV = \int_S^F \left(\int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \mathcal{T}_i(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij}(t) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk}(t) dV_t \right) dt = \mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{ijk} = \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

- (iv) Since the factorization is non-degenerate on every leaf and Fubini assembles the leaves globally over $\mathcal{P}_{S,F}$, both equations of Definition 2.1 are simultaneously satisfied, completing the proof. $\square$

5 Conclusion:

One may expand the observations and experimentation in Analysis and Complex Analysis. Moreover, per our abstract: We covered several products and their proofs over a Riemannian Manifold.

References

- [1] MUNKRES, J. *Topology*. Featured Titles for Topology. Prentice Hall, Incorporated, 2000.
- [2] PINTER, C. C. *A book of abstract algebra*. Courier Corporation, 2010.
- [3] SIMONS, J. Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics* 88, 1 (1968), 62–105.
- [4] WEIL, A., AND WEIL, A. *Basic number theory*, vol. 1974. Springer, 1967.